

CONFIDENTIAL

Final Report

January 1982

DATABASE MANAGEMENT FEASIBILITY (U)

Prepared for:

DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301

Attention: [REDACTED]

DT-1A

SG1J

CONTRACT MDA903-81-C-0292

**SPECIAL ACCESS PROGRAM FOR GRILL FLAME.
RESTRICT DISSEMINATION TO ONLY INDIVIDUALS WITH VERIFIED ACCESS.**

333 Ravenswood Avenue
Menlo Park, California 94025 U.S.A.
(415) 326-6200
Cable: SRI INTL MPK
TWX: 910-373-2046



CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

SRI International

*Final Report
Covering the period October 1980 to September 1981*

January 1982

DATABASE MANAGEMENT FEASIBILITY (U)

By: EDWIN C. MAY

Prepared for:

DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301

Contract No: MDA903-81-C-0292

SRI Project 3279-7

Approved by:

ROBERT S. LEONARD, *Director*
Radio Physics Laboratory

DAVID D. ELLIOTT, *Vice President*
Research and Analysis Division

Copy No.6.....

This document consists of 12 pages.

SRI/GF-0010

CLASSIFIED BY: DT-1A
REVIEW ON: 31 January 2002

CONFIDENTIAL



333 Ravenswood Avenue • Menlo Park, California 94025 • U.S.A.
(415) 326-6200 • Cable: SRI INTL MPK • TWX: 910-373-2046

CONFIDENTIAL

CONTENTS

| | |
|-----------------------------|-----|
| LIST OF TABLES | iii |
| I OBJECTIVE | 1 |
| II INTRODUCTION | 2 |
| III DISCUSSION | 3 |
| IV CONCLUSIONS | 8 |
| V RECOMMENDATIONS | 9 |

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

TABLES

| | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|---|
| 1 | Resource Assessment Summary. | 4 |
| 2 | Training Target Data | 6 |

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

I OBJECTIVE

The objective of this program is to develop concepts and materials to determine if remote viewing data can be placed on a computerized database-management system for each access and manipulation.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

II INTRODUCTION

The manipulation of textual data was among the earliest applications of digital computers. From these earlier uses, it was immediately apparent that two separate requirements should be imposed on data-base management (DBM) designers:

- Develop task specific DBM programs.
- Develop generalized DBM programs that allow any specific application to be designed at a later time by the end-user.

The first requirement was easily met. An abundance of data-base management systems (DBMS) for specific tasks exist that handle everything from general accounting for business to map generation by graphic DBM. The second requirement, however, has not yet been adequately realized. Although many computer vendors offer generalized DBM design programs, they all appear to be of limited use when applied to a specific set of design requirements. The problem of creating a DBM design program is not trivial; to be useful to the widest possible audience, it must be very general. Yet, this very generality makes the final DBM cumbersome to use.

This report describes test applications of a commercial DBMS to remote viewing (RV) data and recommends a threefold approach to the general problem of RV DBM.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

III DISCUSSION

In the Grill Flame mid-year report, * we demonstrated the use of a commercially available data-base management (DBM) program applied to two problems in RV data management. The first of these was the categorization and display of the results of a simulated RV analysis data base. Using the tentative assessment form, * which operating RV analysts had suggested, we analyzed 25 RVs each for 4 remote viewers. Table 1 shows a characteristic output from this data base, which is repeated here from [REDACTED]. The column headings are the seven categories taken from the assessment form. Under each category the remote viewers are listed in ascending order of assessment averaged over all viewings to date for that category. For example, we note that remote viewer 007 was least successful at obtaining information about the geography of a site. However, when everything is considered, remote viewer 007 had the best overall utility. This and similar information might have been overlooked with manual inspection. The row below the dashed lines contains the averages for each column, which can be considered as the "facility" assessment for each category. For example, the represented facility does best on geography elements and second best when targeted against activity at the remote site.

SG1J

WHO

DO WE HAVE A CY

VALID ?

In a second example, we have used a DBMS to organize and manage a growing number of training targets from the National Geographic (375 as of this report). Our RV enhancement effort currently involves four remote viewers, six interviewers, four target preparers, and numerous target selection criteria. With this level of complexity and a growing

SG1J

* [REDACTED] "DoD GRILL FLAME Progress Report (U)," presented at Mid-Year GF Meeting at DIA on 30 April 1981 (April 1981, SECRET).

CONFIDENTIAL

Table 1

RESOURCE ASSESSMENT SUMMARY
(23 Apr 1981)

| Geography | | Elements LS | | Elements SS | | Ambience | | Activity | | Personnel | | Utility | |
|-----------|-------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|----------|-------------|----------|-------------|-----------|-------------|---------|-------------|
| ID | Ave | ID | Ave | ID | Ave | ID | Ave | ID | Ave | ID | Ave | ID | Ave |
| 007 | 1.39 | 712 | 1.15 | 007 | 0.71 | 712 | 0.95 | 712 | 1.14 | 007 | 0.53 | 712 | 0.55 |
| 126 | 1.44 | 126 | 1.33 | 712 | 0.73 | 126 | 1.50 | 126 | 1.62 | 531 | 1.21 | 531 | 1.38 |
| 531 | 1.59 | 531 | 1.57 | 531 | 1.31 | 531 | 1.75 | 531 | 1.75 | 712 | 1.56 | 126 | 1.55 |
| 712 | <u>2.63</u> | 007 | <u>2.09</u> | 126 | <u>1.56</u> | 007 | <u>1.81</u> | 007 | <u>1.86</u> | 126 | <u>2.00</u> | 007 | <u>2.63</u> |
| | 1.76* | | 1.53* | | 1.08* | | 1.50* | | 1.59* | | 1.32* | | 1.53* |

* Average of each column.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

number of targets, a DBMS was mandatory to avoid duplication and to provide target statistics.

As an example of the DBMS output, Table 2 shows a small portion of the existing data. The targets were selected solely on the basis of their use as calibrations for operational RV sessions. They are displayed chronologically as a demonstration of a sorting procedure.

Both of the above DBM applications were done on a large-scale PRIME 750 computer using the PRIME Corporation's POWER+ packaged DBMS. In spite of the computing power of the PRIME 750 and generality of POWER+, four specific problems were identified: This DBMS:

- (1) Did not allow for the formation of specific data records that contained parts of separate records from the remaining data base.
- (2) Did not easily allow a change of data base.
- (3) Did not allow for tree structures.
- (4) Can be installed on the SRI International's PRIME 400 at a cost of \$75,000 to \$125,000.

Table 1 is an example of the first problem described above. The simulated data base was entered and stored by grouping together all the parameters from the analysis of a single remote viewer for a single operational session. Yet, to provide the summary information in Table 1, we must collect scores and IDs from across many sessions. In fact, Table 1 was manually constructed to demonstrate a concept and was beyond the capability of POWER+.

The second problem applies to both DBM examples. In developing the designs of the respective data bases, it was necessary to modify them in progress to assure an optimum configuration. POWER+ requires that all the data be reentered into the new design. Although POWER+ has a file conversion capability that makes possible reentry of data from a disc, it was cumbersome; therefore, we decided to reenter the data manually.

DOES THIS INTERFERE THAT THIS IS BEST COMMERCIAL DBMS & IT WON'T WORK.

WHAT POWER+ WON'T DO

WHICH IS MORE CUMBERSOME & PEOPLE INTENSE ?

CONFIDENTIAL

Table 2

TRAINING TARGET DATA
(23 April 1981)

| Date | Time | Latitude | Longitude | Target Location | Monitor | Class | Preparer |
|-------------|------|----------|-----------|---|---------|-------|----------|
| 15 Feb 1980 | 1021 | 42°34'N | 08°44'E | Calvi, Corsica | Puthoff | C | Unknown |
| 3 Mar 1980 | 0910 | 11°26'S | 53°04'W | Campo de Diauarum, Brazil | Puthoff | B | Unknown |
| 3 Mar 1980 | 0917 | 14°00'N | 121°00'E | Lake Taal-Luzon, Phillipines | Puthoff | B | Unknown |
| 3 Mar 1980 | 1000 | 12°30'N | 70°00'W | Aruba Island, Lesser Antilles | Puthoff | C | Unknown |
| 1 Jul 1980 | 0900 | 21°38'N | 157°04'W | Oahu, Hawaii | | C | Unknown |
| 1 Jul 1980 | 0952 | 31°30'N | 35°30'E | Dead Sea, Israel/Jordon | | C | Unknown |
| 2 Oct 1980 | 0820 | 18°29'N | 66°08'W | San Juan, Puerto Rico | Puthoff | C | Puthoff |
| 2 Oct 1980 | 0900 | 58°12'N | 06°23'W | Stornoway Lewis Is., Scotland | Puthoff | C | Unknown |
| 2 Apr 1981 | 0905 | 34°40'S | 58°30'W | Buenos Aires, Argentina | Puthoff | C | Harary |
| 2 Apr 1981 | 0945 | 45°30'S | 165°30'E | Dusky Sound, New Zealand | Puthoff | B | Harary |
| 3 Apr 1981 | 0807 | 22°30'N | 88°20'E | Calcutta, West Bengal-India | | C | Harary |
| 3 Apr 1981 | 0815 | 51°13'N | 04°25'E | Antwerp, Belgium | | C | Harary |
| 3 Apr 1981 | 0840 | 41°02'N | 28°57'E | Istanbul, Turkey | | C | Harary |
| 3 Apr 1981 | 0920 | 39°44'N | 44°23'E | Mt. Ararat, Turkey | | B | Harary |
| 3 Apr 1981 | 1010 | 35°09'N | 32°47'E | Vouni, Cyprus | | B | Harary |
| 3 Apr 1981 | 1015 | 41°50'N | 71°28'W | Providence, Rhode Island | | C | Harary |
| 6 Apr 1981 | 0817 | 00°14'S | 78°30'W | Quito, Ecuador | Puthoff | C | Harary |
| 6 Apr 1981 | 0824 | 39°18'N | 76°38'W | Baltimore, Maryland | Puthoff | B | Harary |
| 6 Apr 1981 | 0827 | 36°36'N | 83°40'W | Cumberland Gap, Kentucky-Tennessee-Virginia | Puthoff | B | Harary |
| 6 Apr 1981 | 0835 | 00°19'N | 32°35'E | Kampala, Uganda | Puthoff | B | Harary |
| 6 Apr 1981 | 0845 | 37°37'N | 79°33'W | Natural Bridge, Virginia | Puthoff | B | Harary |
| 6 Apr 1981 | 0930 | 13°32'S | 71°57'W | Cuzco, Peru | Puthoff | B | Swann |
| 6 Apr 1981 | 0936 | 28°59'N | 13°40'W | Montana del Fuego, Lanzarote Canary Island | Puthoff | B | Swann |
| 6 Apr 1981 | 0945 | 51°29'N | 00°38'W | Windsor Castle, Windsor England | Puthoff | B | Swann |
| 7 Apr 1981 | 0940 | 29°25'N | 98°30'W | San Antonio, Texas | Puthoff | B | Harary |
| 8 Apr 1981 | 0836 | 20°19'N | 103°10'W | Chapala Lake, Mexico | Puthoff | B | Swann |
| 8 Apr 1981 | 0956 | 33°39'S | 78°58'W | Robinson Crusoe Is., Juan Fernandez Island | Puthoff | B | Unknown |
| 8 Apr 1981 | 1116 | 51°51'N | 01°21'W | Blenheim Palace, Oxon England | Puthoff | B | Swann |
| 8 Apr 1981 | 1119 | 60°00'N | 152°00'W | Cook Inlet, Alaska | Puthoff | B | Swann |
| 9 Apr 1981 | 0845 | 03°02'S | 37°20'E | Mt. Kilimanjaro, Tanzania | Puthoff | C | Humphrey |
| 9 Apr 1981 | 0923 | 38°22'N | 110°21'W | Canyonlands Park, Utah | Puthoff | B | |

CONFIDENTIAL

6

CONFIDENTIAL

SG1J

CONFIDENTIAL

The third problem arises in a training evaluation environment. The target management data shown in Table 2 displays only a small portion of the data that is available for each of the targets. Each target is classified as to the principal type of target with regard to large-scale geographical features (e.g.; a body of water, an island, or a mountain). For purposes of evaluation, it is necessary to know details of each of these major features. For example, one may want to determine how many targets had "muddy streams" as the particular form of "water." POWER+ was incapable of providing this capability. Thus, only a coarse target classification was possible. A "tree-structured" data base is one of the easiest solutions for this problem. A properly designed tree structure allows for easy modification and simple implementation of complex searches such as the one described above.

It is not that tree-structured data-base systems do not exist. Rather, they tend to be large (require large main-frame memories) and require fast processors. Typically, these data bases include a large number of features that are not required in our application and unnecessarily burden the speed/memory requirement of the system.

The deficiencies described here are not unique to POWER+. Each commercially available DBMS will have similar problems with regard to our application. However, there are portions of our application that may have reduced requirements and can be solved with simple data-base management systems.

SO YOU
BUY THE ONE
THAT BEST
SUITS THE
NEEDS !

BROAD
GENERALI-
ZATION ?

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

IV CONCLUSIONS

Depending upon the exact data-base design criteria, commercially available DBMS may possibly be applied to RV data and target management on a limited basis. Such systems are available for a combined hardware/software cost of approximately \$5,000. These systems have the added advantage of being "desk-top" size and are single user so that the entire system may be maintained within a secure environment.

If we consider the security requirement of the general GRILL FLAME program and budget constraints, we conclude that:

SMOKE
SCREEN!

- Full data-base management of RV data is possible, but must be developed in house.
- A complete DBMS must be self-contained, flexible, and operate as a single-user on a micro-mini-computer.



WHY PAY A PHD
@ MUCH \$ PER/HR
TO DO THIS

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

V RECOMMENDATIONS

As a result of the demonstration applications, discussed in the body of this report, and of a survey of available DBM systems, we recommend a threefold approach to implement computerized DBM of RV data, RV training, and psychoenergetic intelligence information.

We recommend:

- (1) That a complete DBMS be purchased to facilitate training. This system would be exclusively used for training target management and can be completely maintained within the training program.
- (2) That a micro-mini-computer-based DBM system be developed that is tailored to the RV requirements to provide optimal evaluation and management of operational RV sessions.
- (3) That the requirements of such a system first be defined to initiate development of a psycho-energetic intelligence data-base system. These requirements would then aid in identifying the particular DBMS that is needed.

WHY DON'T WE
SEND JOE'S
WORK TO
HIM



CMT: THIS COULD HAVE
BEEN DONE BY AN
INTERMEDIATE SYS
ANALYST. WHY DID
WE SPEND THE
TIME AND MONEY
HAVING A PHD DO
IT

DON'T THEY HAVE
BETTER THINGS FOR
HIM TO DO ?

POOR MGT !

CONFIDENTIAL

J/28 MAY 82